

UNITED STATE EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/519,889	03/06/00	REDMAN		R	R597.12-003
	_ ∩∩∩164 QM12/1005				EXAMINER
000164 QM12/1005 CM12/1005				FALIK,	A
625 FOURTH AVENUE SOUTH, SUITE 1500 MINNEAPOLIS MN 55415-1659			•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3741	4
				DATE MAILED	: 10/05/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No. 09/519,889 Applicant(s)

Examiner

Redman et al

Office Action Summary

Andy Falik

Group Art Unit 3741



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Mar 6, 2000	·		
☐ This action is FINAL .			
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extension 37 CFR 1.136(a).	respond within the period for response will cause the		
Disposition of Claims			
	is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
☐ Claim(s)			
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.		
☐ Claims			
Application Papers			
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Review, PTO-948.		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objecte	d to by the Examiner.		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is pproved disapproved.		
\square The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
\square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
\square Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).		
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of	the priority documents have been		
received.			
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num			
received in this national stage application from the li			
*Certified copies not received:			
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).		
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Notice of References Cited			
☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No	(S)		
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948			
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	-		
rr			
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON TH	HE FOLLOWING PAGES		

Serial Number: 09/519,889 Page 2

Art Unit: 3741

DETAILED ACTION

Miscellaneous Reissue Matters

If the patent (or reissue application) has been assigned, 37CFR 1.172 requires that all assignees owning an undivided interest consent to the filing of the reissue application. If there is no assignee, the application must so state.

The 10/5/99 Certificate of Correction changes must be entered in the original patent without any brackets or underlining.

There is no request to transfer the drawings from the original patented file to the reissue application.

Pursuant to para.3 in the Declaration associated with the specification and inventorship identification the "above identified specification" hasn't been identified in paras. 1 or 2. See MPEP 601.01 (a). Also, on line 2 in para. 9 "extend" should be changed to --extent--.

Re para. 5 in the Declaration by the Attorney why isn't the material deleted from patent claim 1 supported by lines 8-24 in column 3 in the specification?

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the decorative indicia recited in claim 4 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Serial Number: 09/519,889 Page 3

Art Unit: 3741

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1,2,5,6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Elliott (4,967,419) in view of Bourdeau et al (4,868,927) where it would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the glove making art at the time of the invention to include the longitudinal opening 7, protective flap 16, and zipper closure 11 of '927 in a lateral position on the '419 glove to facilitate its donning and removal. Fig.2 in '419 shows the sleeve geometries recited in claims 5&6. The discrete finger digit opening recitation on line 9 in 1 claim 1 doesn't obviate the plurality of finger digit openings disclosed in '419. It is noted however that this rejection would be overcome if --all of-- was inserted "wherein" on line 11.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 4,967,419 in view of 4,868,927 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of De Leo (5,173,966) where it would have been further obvious to the aforementioned glove maker to include the indicia 42 appearing in Fig. 1 in '966 on the thumb side of the glove 14 in Fig.2 in '419 for the advantage of enabling the wearer to initially pick up the '419 glove and easily apply it onto his hand with the thumb side in a proper relative sense.

Serial Number: 09/519,889 Page 4

Art Unit: 3741

Claims 1,2,5, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Elliot (2,904,792) in view of 4,868,927 supra. where it would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the glove making art at the time of the invention to replace the series of aligned apertures and a cord in the '792 gloove with the '927 zipper to provide for a more secure closing of the '792 glove on the wearer's arm. It is noted that Fig.1 in '792 shows a plurality of fingers passing through a single digit opening.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 2,904,792 in view of 4,868,927 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of De Leo (5,173,966) where it would have been further obvious to the aforementioned glove maker to include the indicia 42 appearing in Fig.1 in '966 on the thumb side of the glove 1 in Fig.1 in '792 for the advantage of enabling the wearer to initially pick up the '792 glove and easily apply it onto his hand with the thumb side in a proper relative sense.

Claims 1&3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matthews (5,402,536) in view of 4,868,927 (supra.) where it would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the glove making art to include the '927 zipper on a side of the '536 glove for the aforementioned ease of donning reason. Fig. 3 in '536 shows the claim 3 sleeve length arrangement.

Page 5

Serial Number: 09/519,889

Art Unit: 3741

Recapture of Surrendered Subject Matter

claimed subject matter surrendered in the application for the patent upon which the present reissue is based. See Hester Industries, Inc. v. Stein, Inc., 142 F.3d 1472, 46 USPQ2d 1641 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Clement, 131 F.3d 1464, 45 USPQ2d 1161 (Fed. Cir. 1997); Ball Corp. v.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 251 as being an improper recapture of broadened

United States, 729 F.2d 1429, 1436, 221 USPQ 289, 295 (Fed. Cir. 1984). A broadening aspect

is present in the reissue which was not present in the application for patent. The record of the

application for the patent shows that the broadening aspect (in the reissue) relates to subject

matter that applicant previously surrendered during the prosecution of the application.

Accordingly, the narrow scope of the claims in the patent was not an error within the meaning of

35 U.S.C. 251, and the broader scope surrendered in the application for the patent cannot be

recaptured by the filing of the present reissue application.

The cancellation of lines 11-15 and 17-19 in patent claim 1(amended) constitutes the broadening aspect since these lines were added to the original patent claim 1 to define over the '792 and 419' references supra. The criticality of the subject matter in said canceled lines is argued on pages 2 and 5 in the 7/23/98 Amendment associated with parent application 08/968,977.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Serial Number: 09/519,889

Art Unit: 3741

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

On line 1 in claim 3 "wherein the sleeve extends to" is confusing. To more distinctly claim it should be changed to something such as --wherein the length of the sleeve is selected to extend to --.

Conclusion

Any inquiry to the merits of this office action or to any specific features of this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andy Falik whose telephone number is (703) 308-1283. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Calvert can be reached on (703) 305-1025. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-3588.

Any inquiry of a general nature such as a missing reference or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861. Any inquiry relating to the drawings should be directed to the Drafting Branch whose telephone number is (703) 305-8404.

ANBYFALIK PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 3741

AMF October 1, 2000